

PROTEST COMMITTEE DECISION

Case No: 3 heard with 4 Race: 7

PARTIES

Boat or Committee or Person	Class/Fleet	Represented By/Not Present
Boat	ITA 2671	Francesco Bertone
Boat	SUI 3062	Jann Schupbach
Race committee		Ana Lopez

WITNESSES	
Name	Boat – Committee - Role

Valid – Yes (if 'No' use Facts Found to document this decision)

<p>Case Introduction: Request for redress for identifying all boats UFD.</p>	
<p>Procedural Matters: (Conflicts of Interest, parties not present, extending time limits)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Protest committee lodged a request for redress (case 4) based on the possibility that all boat's scores in a race or series could have been made, through no fault of their own, significantly worse by an improper action of the race committee when not properly identifying boats which could have been subjects of rule 30.3 RRS. This request for a redress was heard together with the request for redress lodged by ITA 2671 because it arises from the same incident. 2. Parties mentioned above were present, no one else. Hearing continued under 63.3(b) RRS. 	
<p>Facts found:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Race 7 was started under uniform flag. 2. Race committee identified two boats (2820 and 2685) in the last minute in the triangle formed by the ends of the starting line and the first mark. 3. Race committee scored 2820 and 2685 UFD. Other boats were scored according to their finishing position. 	
<p>Conclusion & Rules:</p> <p>There was neither an improper action nor omission of the race committee. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 62.1(a) are not met. WS Case 136 applies.</p>	
<p>Decision:</p> <p>Redress is not given.</p>	
<p>Request to Withdraw:</p>	<p>Request Approved:</p>
<p>Protest Committee: Václav Brabec, IJ-CZE (Chair), Nick Devereux, NJ-GBR</p>	
<p>International Jury: No</p>	
<p>Signed: _____ Date, time: 16.04.2022, 22:15</p>	